Business Laws

As per new B Com CBCS syllabus 2017 for CU

Sujit Kumar Das

Former Principal New Alipore College, Kolkata

Pankaj Kumar Roy

Principal Jogesh Chandra Chaudhuri College, Kolkata





Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trademark of Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries.

Published in India by Oxford University Press Ground Floor, 2/11, Ansari Road, Daryaganj, New Delhi 110002, India

© Oxford University Press 2016, 2017

The moral rights of the author/s have been asserted.

First Edition published in 2016 Second Edition published in 2017

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law, by licence, or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above.

You must not circulate this work in any other form and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer.

ISBN-13: 978-0-19-948172-9 ISBN-10: 0-19-948172-5

Typeset in Times New Roman by Pee-Gee Graphics, Rohini, New Delhi Printed in India by Magic International (P) Ltd, Greater Noida

Third-party website addresses mentioned in this book are provided by Oxford University Press in good faith and for information only. Oxford University Press disclaims any responsibility for the material contained therein.

Features of

Casa Study

Gousmohoddin versus Appasahib A.I.R. (1976) Knt. 90

Fact of the case A landlord filed a case against his tenant for possession of premises and arrears of rent. The suit was decreed in his favour. In the process of execution, landlord obtained an order for attachment of moveable property of the tenant. The landlord allowed the tenant one month time for payment of the decreed amount and to vacate the premises in consideration that the tenant would not appeal against the decree.

Decision The agreement was valid.

Point of discussion

Case Study Roya

Royal British Bank versus Turquand

Date of judgment —1856

Facts of the case The articles of association of the Royal British Bank incorporated a provision that directors have the power to borrow money through bonds by taking a resolution in the general meeting of the company. The directors borrowed money from Turquand without passing resolution in the general body meeting of the shareholders.

Decision of the case It was held that Turquand could recover the bond amount from the company on the plea that an adequate resolution has already been passed. The outsider is aware of the external situation and not the indoor management of the company.

Reason Doctrine of indoor management has been completely violated.

Cases

Provides numerous case studies to explain various laws.

Tables

A large number of tables are provided for easy understanding of concepts.

		Table 7.1 Dilleterice between Coercion and onlide littletice			
		Coercion	Undue influence		
		Consent obtained under threat is an offence. In this, conse is obtained by threatening to commit some act harmful and forbidden by IPC.			
		It is an act of threatening or detaining person or his propert	 In this case consent is obtained under a mental or moral influence intentionally. 		
		Coercion involves physical power or use of physical or violent force.	Involves.It influence is of moral threat to use of physical or violent force. Character involves use of mental pressure.		
		Table 31.1 Difference between equity share and pr	eference share sing the dominating		
S.No.	Point of differe	nce Equity share Pre	erence share		
1.	Nominal value		mally the face value of preference share is higher than Equity Share.		
2.	Owner		erence shareholders have the voting right to protect their interest.		
3.	Risk		erence shareholders are not the owners of concern they have to bear less amount of risk.		
4.	Redemption		eemable preference share of a company at ime of liquidation get their money-back.		
5.	Preference	at th at th	erence shares have preference in two ways e time of getting dividend prior to equity and e time of liquidation they got their money- cprior to equity.		

Table 7.1 Difference Retween Coercion and Undue Influence

the Book

Exercises

Includes relevant questions at the end of every chapter for students to practice.

EXERCISES

Short Questions (2 marks)

- 1. What is a partner by holding out? [CU, B.Com 2001, 2011,
- What is a partnership by recomp out? [CU, B.Com.2001, 2011, 2013(H)]
 What is partnership by estoppels? [CU, B.Com.2012, 2014(H)]
- What is partnership at will? [CU, B.Com 2012, 2013(G),

Long Questions (12 marks)

- Distinguish between partnership and private limited company. [CU, B.Com 1992]
 How does a partnership differ from co-ownership? [CU, B.Com 2015(G)]

Selected Readings

1. Kapoor, N.D. (2009), Elements of Mercantile Law, Sultan

ni. Jitendra Kumar Mitra (2008), ng Company Law, World Press,

Act. 1932, (Bare Act), Universal

(2011), Business Law, Everest

Medium Questions (6 marks)

EXERCISES

- Short Questions (2 marks)
- Define Promoter, [CU, B Com/993]
 Define Articles of Association, [CU, B Com 2012, 2013]
 What do you understand by Certificate of Incorporation?
 [CU, B Com 1997, 2005, 2007]
 What do you understand by commencement of business?
 [CU, B Com 2008, 2010]
 What is an employmentation contract? [CU, B Com 2008, 2010]
 What is an employmentation contract? [CU, B Com 2008, 2010]
- What is pre-incorporation contract? [CU, B Com 2008,

Medium Questions (6 marks)

- State the usual steps to be taken in the formation of a company. [CU, B Com 1993, 1996, 2003]
- company, [CU, 8 com 1983, 1996, 2003]

 Discuss the legal position of a promoter to the company, [CU, B Com 2011]

 Discuss the steps involved in the formation of a company.
- 4. Briefly explain the procedure for registration of a public limited company. [CU, B Com 2015(G)]
 5. State the procedure for registration and incorporation of a public company. [CU, B Com 2015(H)]
 6. Discuss the duties and liabilities of a promoter of a com-

- Biosuss the dulies and liabilities of a promoter of a company (Clu, B com 2012)
 Biosuss briefly the steps to be taken for the formation of a public limited company under the Companies Act, 2013. (Cl. B Com 2007, 2010, 2011)
 Discuss the steps involved in the formation of a company. (Cl. B Com 2011)
- [UU, B Com 2011]

 9. Discuss briefly the steps to be taken for the formation of a public limited company under Companies Act. [CU, B Com 2012]

Selected Readings

1. Kapoor, N.D. (2009). Elements of Mercantile Law. Sultan

Model Question Papers (without answers)

FIRST SET

Group – A

8 × 2 = 16

- 1.(a) "A threat to commit suic (b) What is a standing offer?
- (c) What is meant by passing
- (d) What are ordinary dama (e) Define "Contract of sale"

Model Question Papers (with answers)

FIRST SET

Question A-1(a) What is Countermanding of a cheque? Ansor "Countermanding of a cheque" means cancellation of a cheque. It may be done by the drawer of a cheque by issuing "Stop payment" by the drawer of a cheque to the bank. Under some circumstances a cheque may be countermanded by the banker.

Question A-1(b) Are promissory notes bearer instruments anymore?

Iswer Normally, a promissory note cannot be payable bearer on demand. It is prohibited by Section 31 of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934. Only Reserve Bank of India or The Central Government can ue negotiable promissory note.

Question A-1(c) Define acceptance for honour.

Answer When a bill of exchange or promissory note is dishonored for non-acceptance or non-payment

Solved and Model **Question Papers**

Helps to understand and prepare for the university examination.

Preface

The laws governing the appropriate ways to carry out business in India date back to the late nineteenth century. The laws created then, such as the Indian Contract Act, 1872, are still relevant and in effect today. Some of the Acts have been amended from time to time to make them relevant to modern business practices. Still others, like the Companies Act, 1956 have been thoroughly overhauled to make them contemporarily relevant. Moreover, new laws have been framed when entirely new methods of transacting businesses have come up, such as the IT Act, 2000 which was necessitated due to influences of modern-day technologies such as computers and the Internet.

The University of Calcutta (CU) has combined the study of several relevant Acts, which are crucial to a student of commerce, in its first semester course Business Laws. The aim of the course is to provide a thorough understanding of various laws that a business practitioner will need to know.

About the Book

Business Laws is designed to serve as a textbook for first semester undergraduate commerce students of the CU. It aims to provide simple and easy coverage of various laws through its lucid explanations of relevant sections, discussion of case law, and landmark cases with facts and judgements.

Key Features

- · Appropriate diagrams are used wherever necessary for clear understanding of the concepts.
- Three sets of University Question Papers are provided with solutions to short questions. Two sets of model questions are also provided for practice.
- Exercises are provided at the end of every chapter. They are divided into short, medium, and long questions in keeping with the university examination pattern.
- A chapter-wise question bank of solved questions is provided at the end of the book.
- Case studies along with facts of the case and decision of the case are given in sufficient numbers to enrich
 the book.
- A separate collection of case laws is provided at the end of the book.
- Several illustrative examples are provided throughout the book to make learning of difficult laws more easy and understandable.
- A glossary of keywords is provided at the end of each chapter to recapitulate the new legal words that the students learn while reading the chapters.

Structure and Content of the Book

The book comprises 28 chapters. A brief description of the contents of each chapter is provided below:

Chapter 1 introduces the subject by discussing the evolution and necessity of business laws.

Chapter 2 deals with jurisprudence. It explains the philosophy behind law.

Chapters 3 to 10 deal with the Indian Contract Act, 1872. The Contract Act is considered to be fundamental to business law and it lays down the foundation of other laws.

Chapters 11 to 14 cover the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, which deals with sales and agreement to sales and is considered as the cornerstone of business deals.

Chapters 15 to 20 deal with the Indian Partnership Act, 1932, which defines and amends the law relating to partnership and different types of partnership.

Chapter 21 to 23 cover The Negotiable Instrument Act, 1881. The Act deals with exchange of money with national and international implication.

© Oxford University Press. All rights reserved.

Chapters 24 to 27 cover the Consumer Protection Act, 1986. The Act deals with the rights of the consumers and the roles played by the District forum, the State Council, and the National Council.

Chapter 28 covers Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008 which deals with the laws concerned with the setting up of partnership firm with limited liability, perpetual existence, and separate entities.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr Ila Das and Sweta Roy for their illustrative support, Prof. Pranab Kumar Bhattacharjee and Dr Tarashankar Roy for acting as fountains of inspiration, and also Indranil Das and Swapnil Roy for assisting us in the development of this book.

Special thanks goes to Dr Sagata Sen, Pro VC (Academic), University of Calcutta, Prof Dhruba Ranjan Dandapath, University of Calcutta, Dr Malayendu Saha, Former Vice Chancellor, University of Kalyani, Dr Ashis Sana, Department of Commerce, University of Calcutta, Dr Subiresh Bhattacharya, Principal, Shyapa Prasad Mukherjee College Dr Ashok Mukhopadhyay, Principal, Seth Anandaram Jaipuria College, Dr Sukomol Dutta, Principal Naba Ballygunge Mahavidyalaya, Dr Bhaskar Purkaestha, Principal Dinabandhu College, Prof. Soumendu Sengupta, Former Principal, Maheshtala College, Dr Manturam Samanta, Principal, Maharaja Manindra Chandra College, Dr Asit Kumar Sarkar, Principal, Acharya Girish Chandra Bose College Dr Dipak Kar, Principal Asutosh College, Dr Sandip Kumar Paul, Principal, City College of Commerce and Business Administration, Dr Subir Kumar Dutta, Principal, Khudiram Bose Central College, Dr Indrani Saha, Teacher –in –Charge, Sri Shikshayatan College, Prof Radhanath Pain, Prof Barun Kumar Das and Banolata Das, Seth Anandaram Jaipuria College, Dr Biswajit Bhadra, Netaji Nagar College, Dr Bhaskar Purakayastha, Principal Shibpur Dinabandhu Institution, Prof Samir Kumar Sinha, Prof Jayanta Nath Kundu, Prof Durgadas Bhattacharyya of Jogesh Chandra Chaudhari College, Prof Mukul Saha, Prof Shrutinath Praharaj, Raja Peary Mohan College, Prof Sanjib Roy, Sammilani Mahavidyalaya, Prof Ratan Sen Sivanath Sastri College, Prof Sukant Mukherjee and Prof Sanjoy Roy (HOD), Acharya Girish Chandra Bose College, Scott Lane, Prof Biswajit Sarkar, Bangabashi College (Morning) Prof Arun kumar Mondal, Bangabasi College (Day), Prof Solanki Gjhhosh, Bangabashi College (Evening), Prof Ranjan Sensharma, Herasmba Chandra College, Dr Sarada Prasad Dutta, Gour Mohan Sachin Mondal Mahavidyalay, Bireswarpur, Prof Arup Banik and Prof Radhagobinda Basak, Maharani Kasiswari College, Kolkata, Prof Supriyo Bhattacharjee Maharaja Sris Chandra College, Prof Krishn Mukherjee, Maharaja Manindra Chandra College, Prof Tamal Taru Roy, Naba Baligang Mahavidlaya, Prof Samrat Dhar, Rammohan College (Morning), Dr Tanuka Sen, Shri Shikshyatan College (morning), Prof Pradip Chandra Bagchi, New Alipore College (Evening), Prof Dilip Shah, The Bhawanipur Education Society (Morning), Prof Preetam Banerjee, wanipur Education Society (evening) along with others.

We would also like to thank the editorial team of Oxford University Press for their constant support. Feedback and suggestions from knowledgeable quarters for improving the future editions is always welcome.

Sujit Kumar Das Pankaj Kumar Roy

Brief Contents

Features of the Book v	
Preface vi	
Detailed Contents x	
Roadmap to Business Laws	cvii

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Jurisprudence	4
3.	Introduction to the Indian Contract Act, 1872	13
4.	Offer, Acceptance, and Revocation	24
5.	Consideration	39
6.	Capacity of Parties to Contract	52
7.	Free Consent	60
8.	Void, Voidable, and Illegal Agreements	76
9.	Discharge of Contract	82
10.	Special Contract	99
11.	Introduction to the Sale of Goods Act, 1930	116
12.	Conditions and Warranties	128
13.	Transfer of Ownership	138
14.	Transfer of Title By Non-owners	144
15.	Introduction to the Indian Partnership Act, 1932	151
16.	Types of Partners	156
17.	Registration of Partnership Firm	161
18.	Minor's Position in Partnership	164
19.	Rights and Duties of the Partners	167
20.	Dissolution of Firms	172
21.	Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881	177
22.	Endorsement	193
23.	Dishonour of a Negotiable Instrument	197
24.	Introduction to the Consumer Protection Act, 1986	207
25.	Consumer Protection Council—Composition and Objectives	213

26. Consumer Dispute Redressal Agencies	216
27. Manner of Lodging a Complaint	219
28. Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008	222
Case Laws 233 Multiple-choice Questions 238 Chapter-wise Solved Question Answers 247 Three Sets of Model Questions with Answers 284 University Solved Question Papers for 2014, 2015, and 2016 316 Two Sets of Model Question Papers (without answers) 323 About the Authors 325	
inersity Press	
Othor Colonial Coloni	

Brief Contents

ix

Detailed Contents

Features of the Book iv

Preface vi Brief Contents viii

Roadmap to Business Laws xvii		
1. Introduction	1	Law and State 6
	_	Law and Justice 7
2. Jurisprudence	4	Rule of Law θ
What is Jurisprudence? 4		Sources of Law θ
Different Schools of Thoughts on		Kinds of Law 10
Jurisprudence 5		Interpretation of Enacted Law 11
Nature of Law 5		Interpretation of Statute 12
Module I		13
Unit I Indian Contract Act, 1872		Formal Contracts 21
·		Simple Contracts 22
3. Introduction to the Indian		Contracts must be Registered 22
Contract Act, 1872	13	
		4. Offer, Acceptance, and Revocation 24
History 13 Object 13		Introduction 24
Meaning of Contract (Section 2) 14		What is an Offer? 24
Definition 14		Different Types of Offers 24
Essential Elements of a Valid Contract	14	Express Offer and Implied Offer 24
Agreement 14	11	Specific Offer and General Offer 25
Legal Relationship 15		Cross Offer 25
Lawful Consideration 15		Counter Offer 26
Free and Genuine Consent 15		Standing Offer 26
Capacity of Parties Entering into		True Test of an Offer 26
Contract 16		Essential Legal Requirements of an Offer 26
Lawful Object 16		Lapse of an Offer 28
Agreements Declared Illegal or Void 16		Offer and Invitation to Offer
	17	Distinguished 28
Legal Formalities 17		Offers Accepted with Special Conditions 29
Classification of a Contract 18		Tenders 30
Validity or Enforceability 18		What is an Acceptance? 31
Mode of Formation of Contract 20		Who can Accept? 31
Execution or Performance 20		Rules Relating to Valid Acceptance 31
Parties of a Contract 21		Acceptance by Conduct [Sec. 8] 34
Classification of Contracts (English Law	y) 21	Acceptance Subject to Contract 34

Classification of Contracts (English Law) 21

Comm Revoc How Offer Offer E-ma Met	ation of Offer and Acceptance 34 unication of Offer, Acceptance, and cation 35 to Communicate 35 and Acceptance by Post 36 and Acceptance over the Telephone 36 and Acceptance over Microphone 36 and Acceptance over Microphone 36 and SMS, MMS, and other Computerized thods of Communication 37		Effects of Coercion 62 Threat to Commit Suicide 63 Undue Influence (Section 16) 63 Undue Influence is Presumed to Exist 64 Consequences of Undue Influence 65 Contracts with Pardanashin Women 66	
5. Consid	Communication is Complete? 37	39	Fraud (Section 17) 66 Essential Elements of Fraud 67 Consequence of Fraud 68	
Definition Offer a Consi Essenti Differer Regar 'No Conto the Act, 1 Rights	ion of Consideration 39 and Acceptance without deration 41 al Elements of Consideration 42 nce between the English and Indian Leding Consideration 46 onsideration, No Contract'—Exception Rule [Section 25 of Indian Contract 872] 46 of a Stranger to a Contract and of a ger to the Consideration 49	aw	Misrepresentation (Section 18) 69 Unwarranted Statements 69 Breach of Duty 69 Mistake about a Subject Matter 70 Suppression of Vital Facts 70 What are Identifications of Misrepresentation? 70 Consequences of Misrepresentation 71 Limitation to the Right to Rescind 71 Mistake 71 Classification of Mistakes 72 Mistake of Law 73 A Mistake towards the Identity of the Person	
Introdu Persons Who SI Law Ro Minor's Position Compa Minor Persons Other I	ity of Parties to Contract action 52 s Incompetent to Contract 52 is a Minor? 52 hould Minors be Protected? 53 egarding Minor's Agreement 53 s Liability For Necessaries 56 n of a Minor's Guardian 57 any Shares Held by a r 57 s of Unsound Mind 57 Persons Considered to be Disqualified ontract 58	52 8.	contracted with 73 Mistake of Fact 73 Void, Voidable, and Illegal Agreements 76 Introduction 76 Definition of Void Agreement 76 Definition of Voidable Agreement 76 Definition of Illegal Agreement 76 Nature of Void Agreements 77 Obligation of Parties under a Void Agreement 78 Illustrations 78 Restitution 78	5
Conse Free C Coercie	action 60 ion of Consent and Free Consent 60 ent 60 Consent 60	60	Wagering Agreement 78 Definition 78 Essentials of a Wagering Contract 79 Wagering Agreement and Insurance Agreement 79 Wagering Contract and a Speculative Transaction 79 Voidable Agreement 79	

Contingent Contract 99

Wagering Agreements 100

a Contingent Contract 101

Rules Regarding Contingent Contract

Rules Regarding Wagering Agreement

Difference between a Wagering Agreement and

	Consequences of Voidable Contracts	Quasi-Contracts (Sections 68–72) 101
	(Section 64) 80	Different Types of Quasi-Contracts
	Mode of Communicating or Revoking	(Sections 68–72) 101
	Rescission of Voidable Contract	Contracts of Indemnity and Guarantee
	(Section 66) 80	(Section 124) 102
^	Dischause of Contract	Contract of Indemnity 102
9.	Discharge of Contract 82	Contract of Guarantee 103
	What is Discharge of Contract? 82	Distinction between a Contract of Indemnity
	Method of Discharge or Termination of	and a Contract of Guarantee 104
	Contract 82	Bailment (Section 148) 104
	Discharge by Performance 82	Essential Features of Bailment 104
	Discharge by Mutual Consent	Duties of Bailor 106
	(Sections 62-67) 83	Duties of Bailee 106
	Remedies for a Breach of Contract 85	Effect of mixture 107
	Accord and Satisfaction 85	Rights of Bailor 107
	Rescission 85	Rights of Bailee 108
	Waiver 85	Termination of Bailment 108
	Merger 86	Pledge 108
	Material Alteration 86	The Rights and Duties of the Pawnor and
	Discharge by Impossibility of Performance or	Pawnee 109
	Supervening Impossibility 86	Difference between Pledge and Bailment 109
	Initial Impossibility (Sec 56 paragraph-1) 86	Law of Agency 110
	Subsequent Impossibility (Section 56	Essentials of Relationship of Agent and
	paragraph-2) 87	Principal or True Test of Agency 110
	Doctrine of Frustration or Supervening	Procedure of Appointment of an Agent 110
	Impossibility 87	Who may Employ Agent? 110
	Exceptions to the Doctrine of Frustration and	Who can be Appointed as an Agent? 111
	Supervening Impossibility 91	Joint Principals 111
	Effects of Supervening Impossibility 92	Methods of Creating Agency 111
	Discharge by Lapse of Time 92	Different Types of Agency 111
	Discharge by Operation of Law 93	Agent's Duties to Principal 112
	Discharge by Breach of Contract 93	Principal's Duties to Agent 113
	Anticipatory Breach of Contract 93	Agent's Rights 113
	Consequences of Anticipatory Breach 94	Principal's Rights 113
	Actual Breach of Contract 94	Sub-agent 114
	Remedies for Breach of Contract 95	Termination of Agency 114
	Damages 95	Termination of Agent's
	Types of Damages 96	Authority 115
	Penalty and Liquidated Damages 97	
		Unit II Sale of Goods Act, 1930
10	. Special Contract 99	44 Interdedication to the O.L. CO
		11. Introduction to the Sale of Goods

© Oxford University Press. All rights reserved.

100

100

Act, 1930

Introduction 116

Formation of a Contract of Sale 117

Sale and Agreement to Sell 118

Definition 117

116

Sale 118	13. Transfer of Ownership	138
Agreement to Sell 118	M	
Differences between a Sale and an	Meaning of Ownership Transfer 138	120
Agreement to Sell 119	Rules Relating to Transfer of Ownership <i>I</i> Passing of Risk 139	138
Essential Elements of a Contract of Sale 119	When Does the Property Pass from the Selle	
Definition of Goods and their	to the Buyer (Sections 18 To 25) 139	i.
Classification 121	Matters Relating to Contract of Sale Involvi	no
Existing or Future Goods 121	Sea Routes 142	ing
Price 122	Sca Routes 172	
Stipulation as to Time 123	14 Transfer of Title by New courses	4 4 4
Destruction of Goods (Sections 7 and 8) 123	14. Transfer of Title by Non-owners	144
Document of Title to Goods 123	Transfer of Ownership by Non-owners 14	4
Sale and Hire-Purchase Agreement 124	Rights of the Unpaid Sellers and Remedial	
Distinction between a Sale and a	Measures 146	
Hire-purchase Agreement 125	Unpaid Seller 147	
Hire Purchase and Instalment Purchase 125	Rights of Unpaid Seller 147	
Auction Sale or Sale by Auction 125	Right of Stoppage in Transit [Sections 46(1)	
Rules of Auction Sale 126	(b) and 50, 51, 52] 148	
	Right of Re-sale 149	
12. Conditions and Warranties 128	Right of Withholding Delivery 149	
Introduction 128	Right of an Unpaid Seller against the Buyer	
Conditions and Warranty 128	Personally 149	
Condition 129		
Warranty 129	Unit III Indian Partnership Act, 1932	
When a Condition can be Treated as a		
Warranty 130	15. Introduction to the Indian Partnership	
Waiver of a condition voluntarily 130	Act, 1932	151
Accepting a part of the agreement not	Drief History of Indian Doutneyship Act	
severable from the whole 130	Brief History of Indian Partnership Act, 1932 <i>151</i>	
Express and Implied 'Conditions' and	Form of Business Organization Prevailing in	
'Warranties' 130	India 151	1
Express 'Conditions' and	Definition of Partnership 152	
'Warranties' 130	Who are not Partners? 152	
Implied Conditions 130	Partnership Firm 152	
Merchantable Quality [Sec. 16 (2)] 132	Legal Status of a Firm 152	
Implied Warranties 134	Essential Elements of Partnership for its	
Warranty of Quiet Possession	Existence 153	
[Sec. 14 (b)] 134	Essential Elements and Features of	
Warranty of Free from Encumbrances	Partnership 153	
[Sec. 14 (c)] 134	Test of True Partnership 154	
Warranty as to Quality or Fitness by Usage of	r	
Trade [Sec. 16 (3)] 134	16. Types of Partners	156
Doctrine of Caveat Emptor and its	101 Types of Fulfillers	100
Exceptions 134	Types of Partners 156	
Principle of 'Caveat Emptor' 134	Types of Partnership Firm 157	

Limitation of Partnership Concept 158

Exceptions to the Principle of Caveat

Emptor 135

Difference between Partnership and Co-Ownership 158 Difference between Partnership and Club of Society 159 Difference between Partnership and Company 159 Limited Liability Partnership 159 17. Registration of Partnership Firm	Meaning of Dissolution 172 Causes of Dissolution of a Partnership Firm (Sections 40, 41, 42, and 44) 173 Rights of Partners on Dissolution of a Firm 174 Liabilities of Partners on Dissolution of a Firm 174 Settlement of Accounts 175 Public Notice in Certain Situations 175		
Partnership Deed 161		Unit IV Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881	
Registration Compulsory or not 162 Consequences of Non-registration		21. Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881	177
[Section 69] 162 Non-Registration does not Affect in Some Cases 162		Introduction 177 Negotiable Instrument Defined U/S 13(1) and (2) of Negotiable Instruments Act 11	77
18. Minor's Position in Partnership	164	What is a Negotiable Instrument? 178 Characteristics of a Negotiable	
Minor as a Partner 164 Rights and Liabilities of a Minor Partner (Section 30) 164 Public Notice 165 Public Notice Regarding a Minor Partner 16. Status of a Minor Partner 165	5	Instrument 179 Presumptions 179 Types of Negotiable Instruments 180 Inchoate Stamped Instrument 180 Fictitious Bill 181 Holder 181	
19. Rights and Duties of the Partners	167	Holder in Due Course 181 Rights of a Holder in Due Course 181 Different Types of Negotiable Instrument	182
Rights, Duties, and Liabilities of Partners Rights of a Partner 167 Duties of a Partner 168 Liabilities of a Partner 168 Relation of a Partner to a Third Party [Section 18 To 30] 169 Partners as Agent of the Firm 169 Act done by the Partners for the Purpose of the Business of the Firm 169	167	Different Types of Negotiable Instrument Promissory Note 182 Bill of Exchange 184 Cheque 186 A Draft and a Cheque Distinguished 187 Marking of a Cheque 187 Different Types of Cheque 188 Types of Crossing 189 Who can Cross a Cheque? 190	102
Partner's Authority in an Emergency		22. Endorsement	193
Situation 170 Implied Authority or Relationship 170 Limitations on the Implied Authority of Partner 170		Endorsement 193 Types of Endorsement 193 Rules of Valid Endorsement 194 Endorsement to make an Instrument	
20. Dissolution of Firms	172	'Not Negotiable' 195	
Introduction 172 Goodwill 172 Dissolution of Firms (Sections 39 to 47) 172		23. Dishonour of a Negotiable Instrument Dishonour 197	197

Dishonour by Non-acceptance 197	Composition of Central Consumer Protection
Dishonour by Non-payment 2198	Council [Section 4] 213
Notice of Dishonour 198	Objective of Central Consumer Protection
Duties of the Holder of an Instrument upon	Council [Section 6] 213
Dishonour of the Instrument 199	State Consumer Protection Council 214
Noting (Section 99) and Protest	Composition of State Consumer Protection
(Section 100) 200	Council [Section 7] 214
Noting 200	Objectives of State Consumer Protection
Protest 200	Council [Section 8] 214
Noting and Protest is not Applicable to	District Consumer Protection Council 214
Cheques 200	Composition of District Consumer Protection
Acceptance for Honour (Section 108) 201	Council [Section 8A] 214
Payment for Honour 202	Objectives of District Council
Compensation for Honour 202	[Section 8B] 214
Dishonour of Cheques 203	Consumer Protection Hierarchy 214
Unit V Consumer Protection Act, 1986	26. Consumer Dispute Redressal
	Agencies 216
24. Introduction to the Consumer Protection	Ageneies
Act, 1986 207	Consumer Dispute Redressal Agencies
Act, 1900 201	[Section 9] 216
Introduction 207	Composition of the District Forum
United Nations Guidelines for Consumers'	[Section 10] 216
Protection 207	Jurisdiction of the District Forum
Objectives of the Consumer Act, 1986 208	[Section 11] 216
Consumer Protection Act,	Power And Function of Different
1986 208	Agencies 217
Importance of Consumer Protection	What Type of Relief Available to the
Act 208	Consumer? 218
Appropriate Laboratory, Complainant,	
Complaint, and Consumer 208	27. Manner of Lodging a Complaint 219
Consumer Dispute, Defect, and	Procedure of Complaint 219
Deficiency 209	Filing a Complaint [Section 9A] 219
Goods 210	Findings of District Forum 219
Person [Section 2 (1) (m)] 210	Implementation of Order 220
Restrictive Trade Practice	Appeals 220
[Section 2(1)(nnn)] 211	Appeals [Sections 15 and 19] 220
Service [Section 2(1) (o)] 211	Appeal (Section 23) 220
Spurious Goods and Services 211	Final Orders [Section 24] 220
Trader [Section 2(1)(q)] 211	Limitation Period
Unfair Trade Practices [section 2(1)(r)] 211	[Section 24A] 220
OF Consumer Dretection Council	Enforcement of Orders
25. Consumer Protection Council—	[Section 25] 221
Composition and Objectives 213	Dismissal of Frivolous or Vexatious Complaints
Central Consumer Protection	[Section 26] 221
Council 213	Penalties [Section 27] 221

28. Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008

Introduction 222
Definition 223
Salient Features of a Limited Liability
Partnership 223
Who Can Be a Member? 225
Number of Membership 225
Partner 225

222

Designated Partner 225
Disqualification 226

Limited Liability Partnership Agreement 226

Incorporation of a Limited Liability
Partnership 228
Advantages of Limited Liability Partnership 22
Disadvantages of Limited Liability
Partnership 229
The Extent of Liability of Limited Liability
Partnership and Partners 229
Contribution of Partner 230
Financial Disclosure of Limited Liability
Partnership 231
Winding Up and Dissolution of Limited

Liability Partnership 231

Case Laws 233
Multiple-choice Questions 238
Chapter-wise Solved Question Answers 247
Three Sets of Model Questions with Answers 284
University Solved Question Papers for 2014, 2015, and 2016 316
Two Sets of Model Question Papers (without answers) 323
About the Authors 325

Roadmap to Business Laws

UNIT NO.: NAME	TOPIC DETAILS	CHAPTER
Unit 1: The Indian Contract Act, 1872	(a) Contract — meaning, characteristics and kinds, Essentials of a valid contract (b) Offer and acceptance (Definition, Rules, Communication and Revocation of offer and acceptance) (c) Consideration (Definition, Elements, Types, Rules), "No Consideration No Contract" and its exceptions; Capacity to Parties (Definition and Types) (d) Consent, Free consent, Coercion, Undue Influence, Fraud, Misrepresentation, Mistake (e) Legality of objects and Consideration (f) Void and Voidable agreements — Definition, Types and Distinction (g) Discharge of a contract — Modes of discharge, Breach and Remedies against breach of contract (h) Specific Contracts - Contingent contracts, Quasi, Contract of Indemnity, Guarantee, Bailment,	3-10
Unit 2: The Sale of Goods Act, 1930	 (a) Contract of sale, meaning and difference between sale and agreement to sell (b) Conditions and warranties (c) Transfer of ownership in goods including sale by a non-owner (d) Unpaid seller – meaning, rights of an unpaid seller against the goods and the buyer 	11-14
Unit 3: Partnership Laws	A. The Partnership Act, 1932 (a) Definition — Partner, Partnership (b) Nature and Characteristics of Partnership (c) Types of Partners (d) Registration of a Partnership Firms and consequences of non-registration (e) Rights and Duties of Partners (f) Dissolution of firms — meaning and grounds B. The Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008 (a) Definition (b) Salient Features of LLP (c) Advantages and disadvantages of LLP (d) Differences between: LLP and Partnership, LLP and Company (e) Incorporation of LLP	15-20

Unit 4: The Negotiable Instruments Act 1881	(a) Definition, Features, Types, Parties of Negotiable Instruments: Promissory Note, bill of exchange, Cheque (Definition and Types) (b) Endorsement: Types of Endorsement (c) Holder and Holder in Due Course, Privileges of Holder in Due Course. (d) Dishonour of Negotiable Instruments: Modes, Consequences, Notice of Dishonour; Noting and Protesting (e) Discharge of Negotiable Instruments: Meaning and Modes	21-23
Unit 5: Consumers Protection Act, 1986	(a) Objectives and features of Consumers Protection Act (b) Definitions – Complainant, Complaint, Consumer, Consumer Dispute, Defect, Deficiency, District Forum, Person (c) Unfair trade practices (d) Consumer Protection Council (Central, State and District – their constitutions and objectives) (e) Consumer Dispute Redressal Agencies: Composition and jurisdiction of District forum, State Commission and National Commission	24-27
	The of diviners in the second of the second	

6

Capacity of Parties to Contract

Chapter Outline

- Parties competent to enter into a contract
- Persons incompetent to enter into a contract
- · Who is a minor?
- · Why should minors be protected?
- · Law regarding minor's agreement
- · Minor's liability for necessaries

- · Position of a minor's guardian
- Company share held by a minor
- · Persons of unsound mind
- Other persons considered to be disqualified for contract

INTRODUCTION

A contract must be made between the persons who are competent to perform the contract. This is the main essence of Section 11 of Indian Contract Act, 1872. Accordingly, every person entering into a contract must have the capacity to enter into a contract. Section 10 of Indian Contract Act, 1872, provides that 'All agreements are contracts if they are made by the free consent of parties *competent to contract*.' Section 11 of the Indian Contract Act regarding the competence of the parties provides or states that 'Every person is competent to contract who had reached the age of majority according to the law to which that person is a subject, and who is of sound mind and is not disqualified from contracting by any law to which he is a subject.'

PERSONS INCOMPETENT TO CONTRACT

According to Section11 of the Indian Contract Act, the following persons are not competent to enter into contract:

- · Minors.
- · Persons of unsound mind, and
- Persons disqualified by any law to which they are subjected to.

The above persons cannot enter into a contract. Any contract of which either party belongs to any of the above category is void.

Who is a Minor?

A person is considered a minor who has not reached the age of a major according to the Indian Majority Act, 1875. A major person has been defined in the Indian Majority Act, 1875, as 'Every person domiciled in India shall be deemed to have attained his majority when he shall have completed his age of 18 years and not

before. In the case, however, of a minor of whose person or property or both, a guardian has been appointed by a court, or of whose property the superintendence is assured by a court of words, before the minor has attained the age of 18 years, when he has completed the age of 21 years'(Section 3 of Indian Majority Act, 1875). Therefore, the age of majority in India is generally 18 years. The age of majority is to be determined according to the law to which he is subjected to. According to the act, a minor is a person who has not attained the age of 18 years. There are, however, two exceptions to this rule.

WHY SHOULD MINORS BE PROTECTED?

The minors are always unable to understand what is good and fair for them. They are very often exploited, and their properties may be taken away. Law provides that the court should be careful and protect them and safeguard their properties against unwanted interference into their interests because of their lack of knowledge and experience.

Nature of minor's agreement

Section 10 of Indian Contract Act, 1872, requires that the parties to the contract must be competent and Section 11 of the Indian Contract Act provides regarding the competence of the parties that a person competent to contract must be of the age of a major. In addition, it is clear that a minor cannot enter into a contract. The judiciary committee of the Privy Council in the year 1903 resolved the controversy of the role of a minor in a contract. In the case of *Mohori Bibee* versus *Dharmodas Ghose* (1903) 30IA 114; 30 ca 1539, Sir Lord North observed that 'minors are appearing in public life today more frequently than before. A minor has to travel, to get his dress tailored or cleaned, and to visit cinema halls. He has to deal with educational institutions and purchase so many things. In any one of such cases, if the other party to the contract could brush aside the minor on the ground that the agreement is void, a minor has to face much more trouble.'

LAW REGARDING MINOR'S AGREEMENT

An agreement with or by a minor is void and inoperative as initio

An agreement by a minor is void and inoperative. In the year 1903, the judiciary committee of the Privy Council declared that agreement by a minor is void and not enforceable in the case of Mohori Bibee Versus Dharmodas Ghose (1903) 30IA 114; 30 cal 539.

In this case, a minor mortgaged his property in favour of a moneylender to secure a loan of ₹20,000 out of which the mortgagee paid the minor a sum of ₹8,000. Subsequently, the minor sued for setting aside the mortgage, stating that he was underage when he executed the mortgage. Held, the mortgage was void and therefore, it was cancelled. Further, the moneylender's request for the repayment of the amount advanced to the minor as part of the consideration for the mortgage was also not accepted. When giving the judgement, Sir Lord North observed that 'looking at Section 11, their Lordships are satisfied that the act makes it essential that all contracting parties should be competent to contract and expressly provides that a person who by reason of infancy is incompetent to contract cannot make a contract within the meaning of the act. The question whether a contract is void or voidable presupposes the existence of a contract within the meaning of the Act, and cannot arise in the case of an infant.'

A minor can be promise or a beneficiary

A minor in whose favour a mortgage has been executed can get a decree for the enforcement of the mortgage. [Raghavachariah versus Srinivas 40 Mad, 308] Similarly, a promissory note executed in favour of the minor can be enforced.

An agreement under which a minor has received a benefit can be enforced as against the other party. Any agreement for the minor's education, service, or apprenticeship, and agreements that enable him to settle him in life are binding provided they are not detrimental to his interest.

Example D, an infant professional boxer, held a licence from the British Boxing Board under which his money was to be stopped if he was disqualified. D sued to recover it. Held, the contract was for his benefit and was binding on him. [Doyle versus White City Stadium (1985) 1 K.B. 110].

Example A minor under a contract of sale delivered goods to the buyer. Held, he was entitled to maintain a suit for the recovery of price. [Abdul Ghaffar versus Prem Piare Lal. AIR (1934) Lah 480]

Minor's liability for necessaries

A person who has supplied necessaries to a minor or anyone whom the minor is bound to support can claim reasonable price for the necessaries and the minor's property is liable for such payment. What is a necessary article is to be determined by the status and social position of the minor. What price is reasonable is to be determined by the circumstances, and the 'reasonable price' and not the 'agreed price' would be paid by the minor. The minor's property is liable for the payment and the minor is not personally liable.

The subject of necessaries supplied to a person incapable of contracting is covered by Section 68 of the Indian Contract Act, which provides that 'if a person incapable of entering into a contract, or any one whom he is legally bound to support, is supplied with necessaries suited to his condition of life, by another person, the person who has furnished such supplies is entitled to be reimbursed from the property of such incapable person'. It is, therefore, the minor's liability towards supply of necessaries does not arise out of contract.

Example Inman, an infant in the undergraduate college of Cambridge bought eleven fancy waistcoats from Nash. He was at that time adequately provided with clothing. Held, the waistcoats were not necessary, and hence the price could not be recovered. [Nash versus Inman (1908) 2 KB 1]. Learned Judge Fletcher Milton in this case observed that 'the basis of the action is hardly a contract. Its real foundation is an obligation that the law imposes on the infant to make a fair payment in respect of needs satisfied.'

Law regarding compensation or restitution

A minor cannot be compelled to compensate for any benefit that he has received under a void agreement. Section 65 of the Indian contract act provides for restitution in the case of an agreement that is discovered to be void. However, it has been held in a number of cases that the court may, on cancelling an instrument at the instance of a minor, require the minor to make compensation to the other party. Section 41 of the specific relief Act 1877 empowers the court to do so. The provision of the act follows as 'on adjusting the cancellation of an instrument, the court may require the party to whom such relief is granted to make any compensation to the other which the justice may require so.' Section 41 of the specific relief Act 1877 provides in similar terms for cases where a contract is rescinded.

Example A minor sells a house for ₹10,000. Later, he files a suit to set aside the sale on the ground of minority. He may be directed to refund the purchase money received by him.

He can always plead minority (no estoppel)

A minor who falsely represents himself to be a major, and thereby that minor induces another person to enter into an agreement with him can nevertheless plead minority as a defence of an action on the agreement. He cannot be sued either in contract or in tort for fraud because if the injured party were allowed to sue for fraud, it would be giving him an indirect means of enforcing a void agreement. There cannot be estoppels against a minor [Sadik versus Jaikishore (1928) AIR PC 1152]. In the English case R Leslie versus Shell, the Court of

Appeal held that where an infant obtains a loan by falsely representing his age, he cannot be compelled to pay the amount of the loan as damages for fraud, nor can he be compelled in equity to repay the money. In India, however, it has been held that the court can direct the minor to pay compensation to the other party in such cases.

No ratification

A minor cannot ratify an agreement entered into by him when he was minor on attaining his majority. It is because a void agreement cannot be validated by any subsequent action. 'An agreement by a minor is void ab initio' [Mahendra versus Kailash 55 Cal 41]. 'Consideration that passed under the earlier contract cannot be implied into the contract that the minor enters on attaining majority.' [Nazir Ahmed versus Jiwan Dass, AIR (1938) Lah. 159]. Consideration given by a minor is no consideration. If a new contract is entered into by the minor to compensate the previous agreement after attaining majority, the agreement is required to be supported by a fresh consideration. [S. Shanmugam Pillai versus K.S. Pillai. (1973) 2 SCC 312].

Example B, a minor, borrows ₹5,000 from D and executes a promissory note in favour of D. After attaining majority, another promissory note was executed in settlement of the earlier note. The second promissory note is void for want of consideration. [*Indran Ramaswamy* versus *Anthiappa Chettiar*, (1906) 6 M.L.J. 422]

Example K, an infant, speculated on the stock market and became liable to the stockbroker for £547. Subsequently, when he attained majority, he executed two bills for £50 each in satisfaction of the original debt. Held, K was not liable on the bills. [Smith versus King, (1895) 2B 543]

Services rendered by a person at the desire of the minor expressed during his minority and continued the same at his request after his majority from a good consideration for a subsequent express promise by him in favour of the person who provided the services. [Sindha versus Abraham, (1985) 20 Bom. 755]

No specific performance

There cannot be any specific performance of an agreement entered into by a minor. An agreement by a minor is void ab initio. A contract entered into on behalf by his parent/guardian or the manager of his estate can, however, be specifically enforced/performed against the minor, provided (a) the contract is within the scope of the authority of the parent/guardian/manager, and (b) for the benefit of the minor.

A minor cannot enter into a partnership

A minor cannot be entered into a contract of partnership, but he may be admitted into the benefits of partnership with the consent of existing partners of an existing partnership.

No insolvency

A minor cannot be declared insolvent even if there are some dues payable from the properties of the minor. It is because he is incapable contracting debts.

A minor can be an agent

A minor can act as an agent of a principle and can bind others except himself. A minor becomes an agent by negotiating an agreement with a major person. No liability is arising out of the agreement against the minor, but the agreement can be enforced against the major person.

A minor is liable in tort (a civil wrong)

A minor is liable for tort, but where a tort arises out of a contract a minor is not liable in tort as this can be an indirect way of enforcing an invalid contract. [Leslie versus Shiell (1914) 3 K.B.607]

MINOR'S LIABILITY FOR NECESSARIES

A minor is liable to pay out of his properties for necessaries supplied to him. A person who has supplied necessaries to a minor or anyone whom the minor is bound to support can claim reasonable price for the necessaries and the minor's property is liable for such payment. What is a necessary article is to be determined by the status and social position of the minor. What price is reasonable is to be determined by the circumstances, and the 'reasonable price' not the 'agreed price' would be paid by the minor. The minor's property is liable for the payment and the minor is not personally liable.

The subject of necessaries supplied to a person incapable of contracting is covered by Section 68 of the Indian Contract Act, which provides that 'If a person incapable of entering into a contract, or anyone whom he is legally bound to support, is supplied by another person with necessaries suited to his condition of life, the person who has furnished such supplies is entitled to be reimbursed from the property of such incapable person'. It is, therefore, the minor's liability towards supply of necessaries does not arise out of contract. The term 'necessaries' has not been defined in the Indian Contract Act. It has been defined by The English Sale of Goods Act 1893 in Section. 2 as 'goods suitable to the condition in life of such infant or other person, and to his actual requirement at the time of sale or delivery'. Such goods need not necessarily belong to a class of useful goods, but they must be (i) suitable to the position and financial status of the minor, and (ii) necessaries both at the time of sale and at the time of delivery.

What are necessaries for a minor is determined by their status and social position and will include the followings:

1. Physical goods not only for bare necessaries but also for reasonable comforts and luxuries to which the minor is habituated. Not only bare necessities like bread and butter, shelter, cloth but also a watch or a bicycle may be considered to be necessaries considering his status. An engagement ring may be a necessary, but a vanity bag bought for her fiancée is not.

Learned Judge Martin B. in a case [Byrant versus Richardson, (1866) 14 L.T. 24] said that 'a coat of superfine broadcloth may be a necessary for the son of a nobleman, although it is impossible not to say the coarse material of a ploughman's coat would be sufficient to keep a nobleman's body worm' The learned Judge Bramwell, B. said in [Ryder versus Wombell, (1868) L. R. 3 Exch. 90] that 'earrings for a male, spectacles for a blind man, a wild animal. a daily dinner of turtle and venison (the edible flesh of a wild animal taken by hunting) for a month for a clerk with a salary of one a week' could not be necessaries.

2. Services required by the minor could be a teacher for him, a nurse for an infant, etc. It has been held that reasonable expenses for this purpose will include education, training for a trade, medical advice [Chappel versus Cooper,(1844) 13M & W 252], provision for expenses for marriage of the minor, marriage of his sister, expenses legal advice and of proceedings for defending him in civil and criminal proceedings, funeral expenses of his near relatives, and expenses for sradh ceremonies of his ancestors.

Example 1 A supplied B, a minor reasonable food necessary for his consumption. Now A can recover the price from B's property.

Example 2 A minor G entered into a contract with R, a renowned Billiard player, for training and advised him during a world tour, and agreed to pay him money. R spent time and money in arranging matches. Held, G was liable to pay as the agreement was for necessaries and was 'for teaching, instruction, and employment and was reasonable and for the benefit of the infant'. [Roberts versus Gray. (1913) 1 K.B. 520]

Example 3 When a minor is engaged in a business, the contracts entered into by him for business purposes and cannot be considered as necessaries and the contracts are not binding on the minor.

3. Loans were taken by a minor for obtaining necessaries. In such cases of requirement, a minor incurs loan for his necessaries and that minor is bound to repay the loan. The lender can recover the amount

from the property of the minor. However, the minor is not responsible personally. [Martin versus Gale, (1876) 4 Ch.D. 428]

POSITION OF A MINOR'S GUARDIAN

A minor cannot enter into an agreement. Even if he enters, it is not enforceable. However, an agreement by a guardian on behalf of a minor stands on a different footing. It is valid and enforceable provided the obligations undertaken are within the powers of the guardian. The powers of a guardian are determined by the personal law of the minor and by the Guardian and Wards Act. An agreement entered into by the guardian is binding on the minor if it is for the benefit of the minor or is for a legal necessity.

COMPANY SHARES HELD BY A MINOR

A minor cannot apply for a share in a company. If by mistake, a minor is allotted a share and his name is recorded as a member in a company, the company can rescind the transaction and remove his name from the register. The minor can also repudiate the transaction and get his name removed from the registrar. Where a minor has become a member and, after attaining a majority, he received and accepted dividends, he will be stopped from denying that he is a member. [Fazalbhoy versus The Credit Bank of India 39 Bom. 331]

PERSONS OF UNSOUND MIND

It is essential that the parties involved in a contract are of sound mind and capable of understanding the terms and conditions of the contract and their interests. Section 12 of the Indian Contract Act is related with the provisions regarding what is a sound mind for the purpose of contracting. It provides/states as follows;

- (a) A person is said to be of sound mind for the purpose of making a contract, if, at the time when he makes it, he is capable of understanding it and forming a rational judgement as to its effect upon his interests;
- (b) A person who is usually of unsound mind, but occasionally of sound mind, may make a contract when he is of sound mind.
- (c) A person who is usually of sound mind, but occasionally of unsound mind, may not make a contract when he is of unsound mind.

Examples

- (i) A person in a lunatic asylum, who is at intervals, of sound mind, may contract during those intervals.
- (ii) A same person, who is delirious from fever, or who is so drunk that he cannot understand the terms of a contract, or form a rational judgement as to its effect on his interests, cannot contract while such delirium or drunkenness lasts.

Soundness of mind depends on the following issues:

- 1. Incapacity of a person to understand the subject matter of the contract, and
- 2. Inability of a person to form a rational judgement as to its effect upon his interest involved in it. If a person suffers from both, he is suffering from incapacity and considered to be a person of unsound mind. Whether a person is of unsound mind or not is a matter to be decided by the court. If a person relies on unsoundness of mind, he has to prove it sufficiently before the court.

Unsoundness may arises from insanity or lunacy, idiocy, drunkenness, and similar factors. Mental decay brought about by old age or disease also comes within the definition.

Lunacy or insanity

A person is considered to be lunatic if he has certain imbalances of mind. This may due to a disease of the brain or mental strain or old age. As a consequence, his mental powers are deranged so that he cannot form a rational judgement on any subject. Lunacy or insanity can be cured, but there are cases where he suffers from intermittent intervals of sanity and insanity. A contract enter into by such a person is valid if it is done during the period when he is of sound mind.

Idiocy

An idiot is a person who has totally lost his mental balance permanently. That person cannot exhibit any understanding even in normal matters. Idiocy is incurable and any contract with an idiot is void.

Drunken or intoxicated person

A person under the influence of alcohol cannot take any decision in the normal process. Drunkenness or intoxication produces temporary incapacity to contract. A person when he is drunk his mental faculties are clouded for the time being, and he becomes incapable to participate in a contract temporarily. The position of an intoxicated person is that of a lunatic.

OTHER PERSONS CONSIDERED TO BE DISQUALIFIED FOR CONTRACT

There are some other persons who are also considered to be disqualified for entering into a contract.

Alien enemies

A person who is not a citizen of India is an alien. He may either be an alien friend or an alien enemy. An alien friend is a person whose state is not at war with India. An alien enemy is a person who is a citizen of a country is at war with the Republic of India. Contracts with an alien friend is valid subject certain restrictions. An alien living in India is free to enter into contracts with citizens of India. Contracts with alien enemy may be discussed under (a) contracts during the war, and (b) contracts made before the war.

During the continuance of war, an alien enemy cannot enter into a contract with an Indian subject. Contracts made before the war may either be suspended or dissolved. For this purpose, if an Indian subject is residing voluntarily in a hostile country or carrying a business in that country, that person will be considered as alien enemy and any agreement with such person will be considered unenforceable.

Foreign sovereigns, diplomatic staffs

A foreign sovereign can enter into an agreement with an Indian subject. However, such agreement cannot be enforced unless they voluntarily submit to the jurisdiction of the local court. An Indian citizen has to obtain a prior sanction of the Central Government for proceeding against them in our courts [Meghell versus Sultan of Johore, (1894) 1 O.B 149].

Foreign sovereigns and governments can enter into contracts through their agents who are residing in India. In such case, the agent becomes personally liable for the performance of the contract.

Companies and corporations

Companies and corporations are body corporate and considered to be artificial persons created by law, having a legal existence apart from its members. A corporation formed by an act of legislature has the capacity to contract subject to the act of its formation. The contractual capacity of a company is regulated by the terms of the Memorandum of Association and the provisions of the Companies Act. If it exceeds its powers, the contract is *ultra vires* and is void.

Professional persons

In England, barristers are not allowed to sue for realizing their fees. Similarly, the members of the Royal College of Physicians cannot sue for their fees. They can, however, be sued or sue for implementation of any contract other than professional one. In India, however, there is no such restriction.

Insolvent

An insolvent also barred for entering into a contract.

Convicts

A convict is incapable of entering into a contract so long as he is in custody. His incapacity comes to an end when the period of sentence comes to an end.

Women

In India, there is no difference between a man and a woman so far as the contractual capacity is concerned. Women married or single can enter into contracts, deal with their properties, and even a married woman can bind her husband's properties for necessaries supplied to her.

KEYWORDS

Alien enemies, Insanity, Persons incompetent to contract, Minors, Majors, Liability for necessaries, Restitution, Estoppel, Ratification, Specific performance, Insolvent, Tort, Unsound mind, Lunatic, Competent to contract, Convicts, Beneficiary, Idiot.

EXERCISES

Short Questions (2 marks)

- What do you mean by capacity to contract? [CU, B Com 2008]
- 2. Who is a minor? [CU, B Com 2003]
- 3. Can a minor be declared be insolvent? [CU, B Com 2007]

Medium Questions (8 marks)

 Discuss the validity of contract by minor. [CU, B Com 1993] 'Minor's agreement is void ab initio.' Discuss [CU, B Com 2012]

Long Questions (16 marks)

- What do you understand by capacity to contract? What is the effect of any agreement made by persons not Qualified to contract? [CU, B Com 2008]
- Discuss the validity of contract by minor. [CU, B Com. 1993]

SELECTED READINGS

- 1. Kapoor, N.D. (2009), Elements of Mercantile Law. Sultan Chand & Sons, New Delhi.
- 2. Garg, K.C., V. K. Sareen, and Mukesh Sharma (2003), Business Regulatory Framework, Kalini Publishers, New Delhi.
- 3. Sen, Arun Kumar and Jitendra Kumar Mitra (2008), Commercial Law including Company Law, World Press, Kolkata.
- 4. The Indian Contract Act, 1872, Bare Act, Universal Law Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd.
- Vechalekar, Dr N.M. (2011), Business Law, Everest Publishing House, Pune.
- 6. Singh, Avtar (2000), Law of Contract. Eastern Book Company, Lucknow.